This survey is part of the iTeach EU funded Erasmus project investigating the effectiveness of the teaching unit identified in your invitation email/letter.

The survey should take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete

Contact Details	Nature 3	Relevance of the Proposed Formation	Pedagog 4 relevanc teaching		5 Evaluation Transfer	of
Contact Details We first need to gather a little information data that we can use to look for trends to are not required to provide it in order to full details within this section.	based on geog	raphical location etc	. This informati	on is strictly	y in confidence an	d you
Name Title First Last Suffix Email						
Institution Graduated						
Address Street Address						
Address Line 2 City	State / Pro	vince / Region	•			
Postal / Zip Code Select a Choice Graduated 3-5 years PhD Student	Country					
Consent Do you wish to be contacted w	ith the resul	ts of this survey?				
Module being Evaluated * If you answered Other please provi		name here:				
Responding to an Invitation from * Next Page	_					1 / 5

1

This survey is part of the iTeach EU funded Erasmus project investigating the effectiveness of the teaching unit identified in your invitation email/letter.

The survey should take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete

1 Contact Details 2 Strategic Nature 3	Relevance of the Proposed 4 Formation	Pedagogical relevance of the teaching approach	Evaluation of Transfer
--	---	--	---------------------------

Strategic Nature of the Teaching Unit (Course)

Please give your opinion/mark about the "Strategic Nature" of this course, taking the following issues into account:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Analysis of the needs: this teaching unit (course) is necessary for a chemical engineer	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
It covers all the needs it should	0	O 2	0	0 4	5
It is sufficiently detailed for a chemical engineer	0	2	3	© 4	5
It is adapted to the real activities of a chemical engineer	0	2	3	© 4	© 5
It includes a prospective approach, bringing new concepts and taking into account the future needs of the market	0	© 2	3	© 4	© 5
The study program is in concordance with other competing universities	0	© 2	3	0 4	© 5
This teaching unit (course) contributes to the attractiveness of the formation of future students	0	© 2	3	© 4	© 5

bringing new concepts and taking into account the future needs of the market	1	2	3	4	5
The study program is in concordance with other competing universities	0	2	3	O 4	© 5
This teaching unit (course) contributes to the attractiveness of the formation of future students	0	© 2	3	© 4	© 5
Any optional comments/suggestions can be	inserted here	1			
			4		
Next Page Previous					2 / 5

This survey is part of the iTeach EU funded Erasmus project investigating the effectiveness of the teaching unit identified in your invitation email/letter.

The survey should take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete

Any optional comments/suggestions can be inserted here

1 Contact Details 2 Strategic Nature	3	Relevance of the Proposed Formation	4	Pedagogical relevance of the teaching approach	5	Evaluation of Transfer
--------------------------------------	---	---	---	--	---	---------------------------

Relevance of the proposed formation in the Teaching Unit (Course)

Please give your opinion/mark about the "Relevance of the proposed formation" in this course, taking the following issues into account

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The content of the teaching unit (course) is adequate	0	0 2	3	© 4	5
Its position in the overall program is appropriate	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
Its duration / workload / ECTS is appropriate	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
Appropriate learning outcomes are clearly formulated for this teaching unit (course)	0 1	© 2	3	© 4	5
Its relations (or prerequisites) with other teaching units (courses) are appropriate	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
It allows accessing the four levels of knowledge taxonomy (knowledge, comprehension, application and analysis)	0 1	2	3	4	5

	<i>a</i>	
Next Page Previous		3 / 5

This survey is part of the iTeach EU funded Erasmus project investigating the effectiveness of the teaching unit identified in your invitation email/letter.

The survey should take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete

Pedagogical relevance of the teaching approach

Please give your opinion/mark about the "Pedagogical relevance of the proposed formation" in this course, taking the following issues into account

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The proposed formation and pedagogy is appropriate to the learning outcomes	0	2	3	© 4	5
The proposed pedagogy allows accessing and improving different levels of knowledge taxonomy (Knowledge, Comprehension, Application, Analysis)	© 1	© 2	3	© 4	5
The proposed pedagogy is appropriate to different students' learning styles (Active and Reflective learners, Sensing and Intuitive learners, Visual and Verbal learners, Sequential and Global learners)	0 1	2	3	© 4	© 5
The proposed pedagogy promotes active learning	0	© 2	3	O 4	5
The pedagogy improves skills and competencies	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
The proposed pedagogy (e.g. labs, tutorials, projects, works, multimedia documents (if present)) improve the teaching	0	© 2	3	© 4	© 5
The proposed pedagogy enables working in professional situation	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
The proposed pedagogy enables appraising the progression	0	© 2	S .	O 4	5

-					
The proposed pedagogy enables working in professional situation	0	2	3	© 4	5
The proposed pedagogy enables appraising the progression	0	2	3	0 4	5
Any optional comments/suggestions can be	inserted here				
Next Page Previous					4 / !

This survey is part of the iTeach EU funded Erasmus project investigating the effectiveness of the teaching unit identified in your invitation email/letter.

The survey should take approximately 10-15 minutes to complete

1 Contact Details	2 Strategic Nature	3	Relevance of the Proposed Formation	4	Pedagogical relevance of the teaching approach	5	Evaluation of Transfer
-------------------	--------------------	---	---	---	--	---	---------------------------

Evaluation of Transfer

Please give your opinion about "the Evaluation of Transfer" in this course, taking into account the issues mentioned below. Have in mind that this metric aims at assessing what students are able to do in professional situation as a result of having been exposed to the given pedagogical method in this unit/course. However, consider that the transfer of knowledge and competencies into business performance depends not only on scientific or technical mastery, but also on transversal and general competences (project management, for example) and on a personal factor (behavioural skills)

Work Skills & Competencies

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Competences in the particular subject	0	2	3	4	5
Practical skills	0	O 2	0	0	5
Ability to combine theory and practice to analyse the engineering problems	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
Ability to comply with practice standard and know how to deal with hazards	0	© 2	3	0 4	5
Ability to apply the concepts to new problems	0	© 2	3	© 4	5
Ability to extend the concepts to new problems	0	© 2	3	© 4	5

Personal Qualities and Skills

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Ability to work in professional situation	1	2	3	© 4	© 5
Ability to evaluate own performances and outcomes	0	2	3	© 4	5
Motivation	0	2	3	© 4	© 5
Adaptability	0	0 2	3	0 4	© 5
Written & oral communication	0	0 2	3	0 4	© 5
Team work	0	O 2	0	O 4	5

Any optional comments/suggestions can be inserted here								

Submit Previous